**Gaia-X** **Working Group Compliance**

**Date / time: 11/07/2023 /11.00-12.00 CET**

**Location:** Online

**Participants**

|  | **Name** | **Organisation** | **Role/remarks** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Thomas Niessen | Trusted Cloud | WG lead |
|  | Felix Beckmann | Airbus | WG vice-lead |
|  | Martine Gouriet | EDF | WG Labels & Qualification, lead |
|  | Gianluigi Mascia | Almaviva |  |
|  | Bert Verdonck | BVDS | WG PRD, lead |
|  | Lauresha Memeti | eco |  |
|  | Yves-Marie Pondaven | Docaposte |  |
|  | Valerie Bruna | Docaposte |  |
|  | Volker Smoljko | IBM |  |
|  | Giuditta Del Buono | Gaia-X | Technical Product Manager |

**Agenda**

| **Time** | **Topic** | **Speaker/moderator** | **Type** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5min | **0/** **Opening and internal fixed**   1. Welcome 2. Compliance with applicable competition law and Antitrust Guidelines 3. Approval of previous Minutes (27 June – see Members Platform) | Thomas | I |
| 40 min | 1/ Processing of feedback from Lighthouse projects | Felix, Thomas | DU,D |
| 10 min | 2/ Options to implement declaration for conformity and Label L1 | Felix, Thomas | I, DU |
| 5min | **3/ Closing** |  | I |

**MEETING MINUTES**

| **Time** | **Topic** | **Speaker/moderator** | **Type** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5min | **0/** **Opening and internal fixed**   1. Welcome 2. Compliance with applicable competition law and Antitrust Guidelines   The participants are welcomed. The guidelines are read and acknowledged by the participants.   1. Approval of previous Minutes (27 June – see Members Platform)  The minutes are approved | Thomas | I |
| 40 min | 1/ Processing of feedback from Lighthouse projects  * The comments collected from Lighthouse projects have been preliminarily analysed by Felix. * Most of the comments are related to a misunderstanding about the target of the criteria, which addresses the level of services, and not the data space level, and can be easily solved (Felix, Martine) * The group focuses the analysis on the portion of comments not related to the point above. * The result of the analysis and the related decisions about the criteria for basic conformity to be kept or dropped, following the comments, is reported in the file which is sent together with the minutes and available on the Members Platform * The criteria P.3\* (cybersecurity) will be further discussed – at Label levels for applicability from L2 and specifically criterion P.3.1.2 about the mention of the term “safety”. To be included in the agenda for the next meeting (Thomas, Martine) | Felix, Thomas, All | DU,D |
| 10 min | 2/ Options to implement declaration for conformity and Label L1The topic will be presented in a next meeting by Pierre Gronlier, who prepared a proposal, which is briefly described: A list of simple checkboxes – no evidence attached – no possible way to verify the claims.A list of simple checkboxes. It’s possible to attach evidence but no means to compare them.A list of simple checkboxes. It’s possible to attach evidence and some criteria values can be machine-readable and comparable. | Felix | I, DU |
| 5min | **3/ Closing**   * Preliminary planning: goal is to have the PRCD ready for validation by the BoD in September. The timeline and the possible merging with the PRLD have to be agreed upon at the Committee level. * The PRCD in its first version will focus on the Service Level. Criteria for data exchange will be integrated through an exchange with Lighthouse projects – the target for a release including a comprehensive set of criteria for data exchange and data spaces should be ~ in Q2 2024. * It is decided to have the next WG meeting on the 1st of August. | Felix, Thomas,all | I, DU, D |

**Legend** (type): **A** action; **DU** discussion; **I** information; **D** decision; **V** vote