**Gaia-X Committee Policy Rules Committee**

**Date / time: 24/05/2023 / 16.00 – 17.00 CET**

**Location:** Online

**LIST OF ATTENDEES**

|  **Name** | **Organisation** | **Role/remarks** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ulrich Eichhorn | Volkswagen | Lead  |
| Bert Verdonck | BVDS | WG PRD vice lead |
| Thomas Niessen | Trusted Cloud | WG Compliance, lead |
| Olivier Senot | Docaposte |  |
| Martine Gouriet | EDF | Excused |
| Frank Ingenrieth | SRIW | WG Labels&Qualification, vice lead |
| Jakob Greiner | Deutsche Telekom |  |
| Corinna Schulze | SAP |  |
| Felix Beckmann | Airbus | WG Compliance, vice lead |
| Hanaé Bisquert | OVH |  |
| Roland Fadrany | Gaia-X | COO |
| Pierre Gronlier | Gaia-X | CTO |
| Przemek Halub | Gaia-X | Program Manager |
| Giuditta Del Buono | Gaia-X | Technical Product Manager |

**MEETING MINUTES**

| **Time** | **Topic** | **Speaker/moderator** | **Type** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5 min | **0/** **Opening and internal fixed**1. Welcome / Compliance with applicable competition law and Antitrust Guidelines The participants are welcomed. The guidelines are read and acknowledged by the participants.
2. Approval of previous meeting minutes (10 May)The minutes are approved, in the integrated version provided by Corinna.
3. Business update
* Ordinary General Assembly: application period is ended. A pre-vote is organised. During the Assembly, a separate vote for Independent BoD members will also take place. The independent members are proposed by the BoD. The Membership fee must be paid to be allowed to vote.
* Proposal for restructuring the PRC deliverables – see the following point.
* The new Gaia-X Operational Handbook has been approved – available here on the new Members Platform <https://membersplatform.gaia-x.eu/dashboard?locale=en#/resources?path=Operations/Handbook>
* The rules in the Operational Handbook will be checked, especially with regard to the elections of the PRC leads and members.
* Alban Schmutz, who is now the CISPE representative in the PRC working groups and was originally nominated by the BoD as a PRC member, can participate again in the Committee.
 | Ulrich EichhornAllUlrich Eichhorn | IDI |
| 15 min | **1/ PRC deliverables** (see the document sent together with the agenda)* The proposal is illustrated. Among the main points:
	+ The content of the current Trust Framework will be split between the new “Policy Rules Compliance Document” (PRCD) and deliverables from the TC (Architecture Document, Schemata)
	+ The PRCD details requirements deriving entirely and only from the PRLD.
	+ The new release of the PRLD and the first release of the PRCD should be approved by the PRC on 21.6.2023 and presented to the Board on 10.7.2023
	+ The new “Trust Framework” will consist of the PRCD, AD, and Schemata.
* It is observed that the PRCD and the PRLD could be merged from the beginning (Bert, Frank, Ulrich).
 | Ulrich Eichhorn | I, DU, D |
| 10 min | **2/ Gaia-X Policy Rules – analysis** – see <https://community.gaia-x.eu/index.php/f/15993459>* The slides are presented.
* Distinction between “how” the assessment is performed and “who” performs it. The role of the Notary is to produce a machine-readable attestation, if the attestation from a CAB is not in a digitally signed machine-readable format. The ”how” refers to the output of assessment activities.
* Following the proposal in point 1, tasks have been identified:
	+ Task 1: identify relations in the existing BoD-approved documents (see PRLD – MR!113)
	+ Task 2: objectives still to be translated into criteria.
	+ Task 3: objectives to be added to cover criteria (see PRLD – MR!114 – addition of data exchange services criteria)
 | Pierre Gronlier | I, DU |
| 10 min | **3/ Mandatory criteria for Gaia-X Compliance*** The importance to be open to non-EU countries is highlighted (goal to promote EU values and not EU regulations). P1.\*.\* criteria, where no Labels level are mentioned, could represent the entry level for Compliance (Pierre).
* The main baseline for Compliance must be defined. The current PRLD in the preamble specifies that all objectives are currently mandatory (all criteria). (Thomas, Bert, Jakob)
* The objectives seem auditable in general – specifically the Cybersecurity part (Jakob, Thomas)
* For L1, a Self-Declaration would be sufficient.
* A “Level 0” Compliance, with a lower entry barrier, could be defined.
* A pragmatic approach would be to start with the current criteria and in the next iteration work on regional extensions.
* Define Compliance = Label Level 1, would result in a limited adoption outside the EU.
* A vote is proposed on the definition of the baseline for Compliance. The proposal is to consider Compliance = Label level 1 as a first step, and leave the definition of a possible L0, with lower entry barriers, to the BoD.
	+ Corinna votes against since she needs more time to review the documents and wants to discuss internally SAP positioning. She adds that the Lighthouse Projects should be consulted.
	+ Felix abstains, due to his/Airbus' hesitation/refusal to make all L1 Attributes globally mandatory because it could really impact the global adoption and usage by global products (especially attributes like P5.2.1 & P1.1.2).
* The vote is summarised by Ulrich in the following statement (sent via e-mail to Felix and Corinna):“According to the attached Document the PRCD will be created from the PRLD 23.06. The decision, if a Level 0 is to be defined will be prepared in a separate meeting. PRLD and PRCD 23.06 will for now focus on EU regulations, regional exceptions and deviation can be developed later.”

It is decided to organise a workshop to discuss the entry level for Compliance, involving participants from various groups and Committees. | Ulrich Eichhorn | DU, D |
| 15 min | **4/WG status updates** 1. WG Compliance
2. WG Labels & Qualification
3. WG PRD
 | a. Thomas Niessenb. Martine Gourietc. Bert Verdonck | I, DU |
| 5 min | **5/ AoB and closing of the meeting** |  |  |

**Legend** (type): **A** action; **DU** discussion; **I** information; **D** decision; **V** vote